arXiv:1101.4380v2 [math.NT] 27 Jan 2011

The Hilbert's class field and the p-class group of the cyclotomic fields

Roland Quême

2011 jan 26

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 On Kummer theory **2**

2.1 Some definitions and notations 2

2.2 Some preliminary results 2

3 Singular extensions **4**

4 Singular $\mathbb{Q}$-fields **4**

5 The decomposition of the prime p in the singular primary $\mathbb{Q}$-fields **7**

Abstract

Let p be an irregular prime and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ the p-cyclotomic field. Let σ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism of K generating $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let S/K be a cyclic unramified extension of degree p, defined by $S = K(A^{1/p})$ where $A \in K\backslash K^p$, $A\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ with $\mathfrak{a}$ non-principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_K$, $A^{\sigma-\mu} \in K^p$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$. We compute explicitly the decomposition of the prime p in the subfields M of S of degree $[M:\mathbb{Q}] = p$.

1 Introduction

Let p be an irregular prime and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ the p-cyclotomic field. Let σ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism of K generating $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let S/K be a cyclic unramified extension of degree p, defined by $S = K(\omega)$ where $\omega = A^{1/p}$, A is a primitive element of K, $A \in K\backslash K^p$, $A\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ with $\mathfrak{a}$ non-principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_K$, $A^{\sigma-\mu} \in K^p$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p$. The extensions S/K and $K/\mathbb{Q}$ are respectively cyclic of degree p and $p-1$. At each pth root ω of A correspond a subfield M of S with degree $[M:\mathbb{Q}] = p$, S/M cyclic and $M/\mathbb{Q}$ non galois. In this article, we describe completely the decomposition of the prime p in the fields extensions $(S/K, K/\mathbb{Q})$ and $(S/M, M/\mathbb{Q})$.

2 On Kummer theory

In this section we recall some definitions and classical properties of the p-cyclotomic field.

2.1 Some definitions and notations

In this subsection we introduce some definitions and notations on cyclotomic fields, p-class group, singular numbers, primary and non-primary, used in this note.

1. Let p be an odd irregular prime. Let ζ be a pth primitive root of the unity. Let K be the p-cyclotomic field $K =_{def} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_K$ its ring of integers. Let K^+ be the maximal totally real subfield of K, $\mathbb{Z}_{K^+}$ its ring of integers and $\mathbb{Z}_{K^+}^*$ the group of unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{K^+}$. Let v be a primitive root $\bmod p$ and $\sigma :_{def} \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^v$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism of K. Let G be the Galois group of the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_p$ be the finite field of cardinal p and $\mathbf{F}_p^*$ its multiplicative group. Let $\lambda = \zeta - 1$ (By opposite in this note to the usual notation $\lambda = \zeta - 1$). The prime ideal of K lying over p is $\pi = \lambda O_K$. For $a \in K$ we adopt the notation $\overline{a}$ for the complex conjugate of a.

2. Let C_p be the subgroup of exponent p of the of the class group of K (called p-class group in this article).

   C_p is the direct sum of r subgroups Γ_i of order p, each Γ_i annihilated by a polynomial $\sigma - \mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p[G]$ with $\mu_i \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$,

   $$C_p = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma_i, \tag{1}$$

   where $\mu \equiv v^n \bmod p$ with a natural integer n, $\quad 2 \leq n \leq p-2$.

3. r_p is the rank of C_p seen as a $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$-module. If $r_p > 0$ then p is irregular. Let h^+ be the class number of K^+. Let C_p^+ be the p-class group of K_p^+. Let r_p^+ be the rank of C_p^+. Then $C_p = C_p^+ \oplus C_p^-$ where C_p^- is the relative p-class group. $r_p^+ \geq 1$ if and only if $h^+ \equiv 0 \mod p$.

4. A number $A \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ is said singular if $v_\pi(A) = 0$, $A^{1/p} \notin K$ and if there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of K such that $A\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$. Observe that, with this definition, a unit $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{K^+}^*$ with $\eta^{1/p} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{K^+}^*$ is singular.

5. A number $A \in K$ is said *semi-primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a \bmod \pi^2$. A number $A \in K$ is said *primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a^p \bmod \pi^p$. Clearly a primary number is semi-primary. A number $A \in K$ is said *hyper-primary* if $v_\pi(A) = 0$ and if there exists a natural integer a such that $A \equiv a^p \bmod \pi^{p+1}$.

2.2 Some preliminary results

In this section we recall some classical properties of singular numbers (given for instance in Quême [6] in theorems 2.4 p. 4, 2.7 p. 7 and 3.1 p. 9). Let Γ be one of the r subgroups Γ_i of order p of C_p defined in relation (1).

1. If $r_p^- > 0$ and $\Gamma \subset C_p^-$: then there exist singular semi-primary integers A with $A\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a **non**-principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_K$ verifying simultaneously

   $$\begin{aligned} & Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in \Gamma,\ Cl(\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma-\mu}) = 1, \\ & \sigma(A) = A^{\mu} \times \alpha^p, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*, \quad \alpha \in K, \\ & \mu \equiv v^{2m+1} \bmod p, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}, \\ & \pi^{2m+1} \mid A - a^p, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

   In that case we say that A is a *negative* singular integer to point out the fact that $Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in C_p^-$. Moreover, this number A verifies

   $$A \times \overline{A} = D^p, \tag{3}$$

   for some integer $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{K^+}^*$.

   (a) Either A is singular non-primary with $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - a^p$.

   (b) Or A is singular primary with $\pi^p \mid A - a^p$. In that case we know by reflection from class field theory that $r_p^+ > 0$.

   (see for instance Quême [6] theorem 2.4 p. 4).

2. If $r_p^+ > 0$ and $\Gamma \subset C_p^+$: then there exist singular semi-primary integers A with $A\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{a}^p$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a **non**-principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_K$ and verifying simultaneously

   $$\begin{aligned} & Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in \Gamma,\ Cl(\mathfrak{a}^{\sigma-\mu}) = 1, \\ & \sigma(A) = A^{\mu} \times \alpha^p, \quad \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*, \quad \alpha \in K, \\ & \mu \equiv v^{2m} \bmod p, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}, \\ & \pi^{2m} \mid A - a^p, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p-1, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

   In that case we say that A is a *positive* singular integer to point out the fact that $Cl(\mathfrak{a}) \in C_p^+$. Moreover, this integer A verifies

   $$\frac{A}{\overline{A}} = D^p, \tag{5}$$

   for some number $D \in K$. If $h^+ \equiv 0 \bmod p$, it is important to note that $D \neq 1$, $D \in K \backslash K^+$ is possible, for instance when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}$, with $\mathfrak{q}$ prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_K$, $Cl(\mathfrak{q}) \in C_p^+$ and $q \equiv 1 \bmod p$ (from Kummer, the prime $q \equiv 1 \bmod p$ generates the class group of K).

   (a) Either A is singular non-primary with $\pi^{2m} \parallel A - a^p$.

   (b) Or A is singular primary with $\pi^p \mid A - a^p$.

   (see for instance [6] theorem 2.7 p. 7).

3 Singular extensions

We introduce a terminology used in this note:

1. In this section, let us fix Γ one of the r subgroups of order p of C_p defined by relation (1). Let A be a singular semi-primary integer, negative or positive, verifying respectively the relations (2) or (4). Without loss of generality we assume in the sequel that $A \equiv 1 \mod \pi^2$ because if $A \not\equiv 1 \mod p^2$ we can replace A by $A' = A^{p-1}$. Let us define
$$S =_{def} \mathbb{Q}(A^{1/p}).$$
The singular integer $A \in K$, so the absolute degree $[S : \mathbb{Q}]$ is $p \times deg(A)$ dividing $p(p-1)$ where $deg(A)$ is the degree of the minimal polynomial of the algebraic integer A. *In the sequel, we consider the only cases where A is of degree $p-1$ (or a primitive element of K).*

   We call S/K a singular extension. The singular extension S/K is negative or positive depending on whether A is a negative or positive singular number. From Kummer theory S/K is a cyclic extension of degree p and $S/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois non-abelian extension of degree $(p-1)p)$.

2. A singular extension $S = K(A^{1/p})$ is said primary or non-primary depending on whether the singular number A is primary or non-primary. From Hilbert class field theory, if S is primary, the extension S/K is the cyclic unramified extension of degree p corresponding to the cyclic group Γ defined in relation (1) p. 2.

   Observe that if A is singular primary then S/K is unramified and the principal ideal π of K splits totally in S/K from principal ideal theorem which implies that A is singular hyperprimary.

4 Singular $\mathbb{Q}$-fields

Let A be a semi-primary singular integer, negative (see definition (2)), positive (see definition (4)). Let ω be a pth root of A

$$\omega := A^{1/p}. \tag{6}$$

Then $S/K = K(\omega)/K$ is the corresponding singular K-extension. Observe that this definition implies that $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ ring of integers of S.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that S/K is a singular primary extension. Let $\theta : \omega \to \omega\zeta$ be a K-isomorphism of the field S. There are p prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over π. There exists a prime ideal π_0 of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over π such that the p prime ideals $\pi_n = \theta^n(\pi_0)$, $n = 0, \dots, p-1$ of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over π verify the congruences*

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_0^2 \mid \omega - 1, \\ &\pi_n \parallel \omega - 1, \ \dots, n = 1, \dots, p-1. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Proof.

1. From Hilbert class field theory and Principal Ideal Theorem, the prime principal ideal π of K splits totally in the unramified cyclic extension S/K. It follows that $\pi^{p+1} \| A - 1$ (see for instance Ribenboim [7] case III p.168).
2. Then $\omega^p - 1 \equiv 0 \bmod \pi^{p+1}$. Let $\theta : \omega \to \omega\zeta$ be a K-automorphism of the field S. Let Π be any of the p prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over π. Then $\pi\mathbb{Z}_S = \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \theta^l(\Pi)$ where $\theta^l(\Pi),\ l = 0, \ldots, p-1$ are the p prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over π.
3. From $A \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p+1}$ we see that

$$\omega^p - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (\omega\zeta^i - 1) \equiv 0 \bmod \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \theta^l(\Pi)^{p+1}.$$

   It follows that there exists at least one i such that $\omega\zeta^i - 1 \equiv 0 \mod \Pi^2$. There exists only one such i if not, from $\omega\zeta^{i'} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod \Pi^2$, we should have $\zeta^i - \zeta^{i'} \equiv 0 \bmod \Pi^2$. Therefore $\omega - 1 \equiv 0 \mod \theta^{-i}(\Pi)$. The theorem follows with $\pi_0 = \theta^{-i}(\Pi)$.

□

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that S/K is a singular non primary extension. Then π ramifies in S/K and $\Pi \mid \omega - 1$ where Π is the prime of S lying over π.*

Proof.

1. Show that the extension S/K is ramified at π: S/K is unramified at all the primes except p because A is singular. π does not splits in S/K, if not S/K should be a singular primary extension. π is not inert in S/K, if not we should have $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ so $A \equiv 1 \mod \pi^p$ and S/K should be primary, therefore π ramifies in S/K and $\pi\mathbb{Z}_S = \Pi^p$.
2. $A \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$, thus $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \Pi$.

□

There are p different automorphisms of the field S extending the $\mathbb{Q}$-automorphism σ of the field K, we will fix one of them.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists one and only one automorphism σ_μ of $S/\mathbb{Q}$ extending σ such that*

$$\omega^{\sigma_\mu - \mu} \equiv 1 \textit{ mod } \pi^2. \tag{8}$$

Proof.
From $\sigma(A) = A^\mu \alpha^p$ there exist p different automorphisms $\sigma_{(w)},\ w = 0, \ldots, p-1$, of the field S extending the $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism σ of the field K, defined by

$$\sigma_{(w)}(\omega) = \omega^\mu \alpha \zeta^w, \tag{9}$$

for natural numbers $w = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. There exists one and only one w such that $\alpha \times \zeta^w$ is a semi-primary number (or $\alpha \times \zeta^w \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^2$). Let us set $\sigma_\mu = \sigma_{(w)}$ to emphasize the role of μ. Therefore we get

$$\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \bmod \pi^2. \tag{10}$$

□

In the sequel, without loss of generality, we fix the semi-primary number $\alpha \in K$ such that

$$\sigma_\mu(\omega) = \omega^\mu \alpha. \tag{11}$$

Lemma 4.4. *$\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$.*

Proof. We have $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(A) = A$, therefore there exists a natural integer w_1 such that $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega \times \zeta^{w_1}$. We have proved in relation (10) that

$$\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \bmod \pi^2, \tag{12}$$

thus $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) \equiv \omega^{\mu^{p-1}} \equiv \omega \times A^{(\mu^{p-1}-1)/p} \equiv \omega \bmod \pi^2$ which implies that $w_1 = 0$ because A is semi-primary. Therefore $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$. □

Let us define $\Omega \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ ring of integers of S by the relation

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma_\mu^i(\omega). \tag{13}$$

Theorem 4.5. *$M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$ is a field with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = p$, $[S : M] = p - 1$ and $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$.*

Proof.

1. Show that $\Omega \neq 0$: If S/K is unramified, then $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ implies with definition of Ω that $\Omega \equiv p - 1 \bmod \pi$ and so $\Omega \neq 0$. If S/K is ramified, then $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \Pi$ implies with definition of Ω that $\Omega \equiv p - 1 \bmod \Pi$ because $\sigma_\mu(\Pi) = \Pi$ and so $\Omega \neq 0$.
2. Show that $\Omega \notin K$: from $\sigma_\mu(\omega) = \omega^\mu \alpha$ we get

   $$\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \omega^{\mu^i \mod p} \times \beta_i,$$

   with $\beta_i \in K$. Putting together terms of same degree we get $\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_j \omega^j$ where $\gamma_j \in K$ are not all null because $\Omega \neq 0$. $\Omega \in K$ should imply the polynomial equation $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \omega^j \times \gamma_j - \gamma = 0$ with $\gamma \in K$, not possible because the minimal polynomial equation of ω with coefficients in K is $\omega^p - A^{p-1} = 0$.
3. Show that $M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$ verifies $M \subset S$ with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = p$ and $[S : M] = p - 1$: $S/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension with $[S : \mathbb{Q}] = (p - 1)p$. Let G_S be the Galois group of $S/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $< \sigma_\mu >$ be the subgroup of G_S generated by the automorphism $\sigma_\mu \in G_S$. We have seen in lemma 4.4 that $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\omega) = \omega$. In the other hand $\sigma_\mu^{p-1}(\zeta) = \zeta$ and $\sigma_\mu^n(\zeta) \neq \zeta$ for $n < p - 1$ and so $< \sigma_\mu >$ is of order $p - 1$.

4. From fundamental theorem of Galois theory, there is a fixed field $M = S^{<\sigma_\mu>}$ with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = [G_S :< \sigma_\mu >] = p$. From $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$ seen and from definition relation (13) it follows that $\Omega \in M$ and from $\Omega \not\in K$ it follows that $M = \mathbb{Q}(\Omega)$. Thus $S = M(\zeta)$ and $\omega \in S$ can be written

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \omega_i \lambda^i, \ \omega_i \in M. \tag{14}$$

with $\lambda = \zeta - 1$ and with $\sigma_\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_i$ because $\sigma_\mu(\Omega) = \Omega$.

□

Some definitions: The field $M \subset S$ is called a singular $\mathbb{Q}$-field. A singular $\mathbb{Q}$-field M is said primary (respectively non-primary) if S is a singular primary (respectively non-primary) extension.

5 The decomposition of the prime p in the singular primary $\mathbb{Q}$-fields

This section deals with the decomposition of the prime p in the singular primary $\mathbb{Q}$-fields M. In that case recall that S/K is a cyclic unramified extension of degree p and there are p prime ideals in S/K over π

Observe that the case of singular non-primary $\mathbb{Q}$-fields can easily be described. The extension S/K is fully ramified at π, so $p\mathbb{Z}_S = \pi_S^{p(p-1)}$. Therefore there is only one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of M ramified with $p\mathbb{Z}_M = \mathfrak{p}^p$.

Recall that θ is the K-isomorphism $\theta : \omega \to \omega\zeta$ of S and that π splits totally in S/K with $\pi_i = \theta^i(\pi_0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$.

Lemma 5.1. $\sigma_\mu(\pi_0) = \pi_0$

Proof. From relation (12) $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \bmod \pi^2$. From lemma 4.1, $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \pi_0^2$ and so $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \equiv 1 \bmod \pi_0^2$. Then $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \sigma_\mu^{-1}(\pi_0)^2$. If $\sigma_\mu^{-1}(\pi_0) \neq \pi_0$ it follows that $\omega \equiv 1 \bmod \pi_0^2 \times \sigma^{-1}(\pi_0^2)$, which contradicts lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $\pi_k = \theta^k(\pi_0)$ *for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq p-1$. *Then* $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \pi_{n_k}$ *with* $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_k \equiv k \times v\mu^{-1}$ *mod* p.

Proof.

1. From $\pi_0^2 \mid \omega - 1$, it follows that

$$\theta^k(\pi_0^2) = \pi_k^2 \mid \omega\zeta^k - 1.$$

Then

$$\sigma_\mu(\pi_k)^2 \mid \sigma_\mu(\omega) \times \zeta^{vk} - 1.$$

2. We have $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \pi_{k+l_k}$ for some $l_k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on k. From relation (12) we know that $\sigma_\mu(\omega) \equiv \omega^\mu \bmod \pi^2$. Therefore
$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid \omega^\mu \times \zeta^{vk} - 1.$$
3. In an other part by the K-automorphism θ^{k+l_k} of S we have
$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid \omega \times \zeta^{k+l_k} - 1,$$
so
$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid (\omega^\mu \times \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)} - 1).$$
4. Therefore $\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid \omega^\mu(\zeta^{vk} - \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)})$, and so
$$\pi_{k+l_k}^2 \mid \zeta^{vk} - \zeta^{\mu(k+l_k)}.$$
5. This implies that $\mu(k+l_k) - vk \equiv 0 \bmod p$, so $\mu l_k + k(\mu - v) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ and finally that
$$l_k \equiv k \times \frac{v-\mu}{\mu} \mod p,$$
where we know that $v - \mu \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ from Stickelberger relation. Then $n_k \equiv k + k \times \frac{v-\mu}{\mu} = k \times \frac{v}{\mu} \bmod p$, which achieves the proof.

□

Lemma 5.3.

1. *If S/K is a singular primary negative extension then $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_k$.*
2. *If S/K is a singular primary positive extension then $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_{n-k}$.*

Proof. From lemma 5.2 we have $\sigma_\mu^{(p-1)/2}(\pi_k) = \pi_{k'}$ with $k' \equiv kv^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2} \bmod p$. If S/K is negative then $v^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and if S/K is positive or unit then $v^{(p-1)/2}\mu^{-(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \bmod p$ and the result follows. □

Lemma 5.4. *The length of the orbit of the action of the group $< \sigma_\mu >$ on π_0 is 1 and the length of the orbit of the action of the group $< \sigma_\mu >$ on π_i, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ is d where d is the order of $v\mu^{-1}$ mod p.*

Proof. For π_0 see lemma 5.1. For π_k see lemma 5.2: $\sigma_\mu(\pi_k) = \sigma_\mu(\pi_{n_k})$ with $n_k \equiv v\mu^{-1} \bmod p$, then $\sigma_\mu^2(\pi_k) = \sigma_\mu(\pi_{n_{k_2}})$ with $n_{k_2} \equiv kv^2\mu^{-2} \bmod p$ and finally $n_{k_d} \equiv k \bmod p$. □

The only prime ideals of $M/\mathbb{Q}$ ramified are lying over p. The prime ideal of K over p is π. To avoid cumbersome notations, the prime ideals of S over π are noted here Π or $\Pi_i = \theta^i(\Pi_0)$, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, and the prime ideals of M over p are noted $\mathfrak{P}$ or $\mathfrak{P}_j$, $j = 0, \dots, \nu$ where $\nu + 1$ is the number of such ideals.

Theorem 5.5. *Let d be the order of $v\mu^{-1} \bmod p$. There are $\frac{p-1}{d}+1$ prime ideals in the singular primary $\mathbb{Q}$-field M lying over p. Their prime decomposition and ramification is:*

1. $e(\mathfrak{P}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$.
2. $e(\mathfrak{P}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) = d$ *for all* $j = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{d}$ *with* $d > 1$.

Proof.

1. preparation of the proof
   (a) The inertial degrees verifies $f(\pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $f(\Pi/\pi) = 1$ and so $f(\Pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Therefore, from multiplicativity of degrees in extensions, it follows that $f(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}) = f(\Pi/\mathfrak{P}) = 1$ where Π is lying over $\mathfrak{P}$.
   (b) $e(\pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = p-1$ and $e(\Pi/\pi) = 1$ and so $e(\Pi/p\mathbb{Z}) = p-1$.
   (c) Classically, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\nu} e(\mathfrak{P}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) = p, \tag{15}$$

   where $\nu+1$ is the number of prime ideals of M lying over p and where $e(\mathfrak{P}_j/p\mathbb{Z})$ are ramification indices dividing $p-1$ because, from multiplicativity of degrees in extensions, $e(\Pi/\mathfrak{P}_j) \times e(\mathfrak{P}_j/p\mathbb{Z}) = p-1$.

2. Proof
   (a) The extension S/M is Galois of degree $p-1$, therefore the number $n_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of prime ideals Π of $\mathbb{Z}_S$ lying over one $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_M$ is $n_{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{p-1}{e(\Pi/\mathfrak{P})} = e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z})$.
   (b) Let $c(\Pi)$ be the orbit of Π under the action of the group $< \sigma_\mu >$ of cardinal $p-1$ seen in lemma 5.4. If $\Pi = \pi_0$ then the orbit C_Π is of length 1. If $\Pi \neq \pi_0$ then the orbit C_Π is of length d. If C_Π has one ideal lying over $\mathfrak{P}$ then it has all its d ideals lying over $\mathfrak{P}$ because $\sigma_\mu(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$. This can be extended to all Π' lying over $\mathfrak{P}$ with $C_{\Pi'} \neq C_\Pi$ and it follows that when $\Pi \neq \pi_0$ then

$$d \mid n_{\mathfrak{P}} = e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}). \tag{16}$$

   There is one $\mathfrak{P}$ with $n_{\mathfrak{P}} = e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ because C_{π_0} is the only orbit with one element.
   (c) The extension S/M is cyclic of degree $p-1$. There exists one field N with $M \subset N \subset S$ with degree $[N : M] = \frac{p-1}{d}$. If there were at least two different prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}'_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}'_2$ of N lying over $\mathfrak{P}$ it should follow that $\mathfrak{P}'_2 = \sigma_\mu^{jd}(\mathfrak{P}'_1)$ for some j, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{d}$, because the Galois group of S/M is $< \sigma_\mu >$ and the Galois group of N/M is $< \sigma_\mu^d >$. But, if a prime ideal π_k of S lies over $\mathfrak{P}'_1$ then $\sigma_\mu^{jd}(\pi_k)$ should lie over $\mathfrak{P}'_2$. From lemma 5.4, $\sigma_\mu^d(\pi_k) = \pi_k$ should imply that $\mathfrak{P}'_2 = \mathfrak{P}'_1$, contradiction. Therefore the only possibility is that $\mathfrak{P}$ is fully ramified in N/M and thus $\mathfrak{P}O_N = \mathfrak{P}'^{(p-1)/d}$. Therefore $e(\mathfrak{P}'/\mathfrak{P}) = \frac{p-1}{d}$ and so $e(\mathfrak{P}'/p\mathbb{Z}) = e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times \frac{p-1}{d} \mid p-1$ and thus

$$e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}) \mid d.$$

From previous result (16) it follows that $e(\mathfrak{P}/p\mathbb{Z}) = d$. Then $d > 1$ because $\mu - v \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ from Stickelberger theorem. There are $\frac{p-1}{d} + 1$ prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}_i$ because, from relation (15) $p = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} e(\mathfrak{P}_i/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1 + \nu \times d$.

□

Example: let us consider the case of prime numbers p with $\frac{p-1}{2}$ prime.

1. Singular primary negative $\mathbb{Q}$-fields
   Here $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \bmod p$ and $d \in \{2, \frac{p-1}{2}, p-1\}$. Straightforwardly, the case $d = 2$ is not possible: $\mu^2 \equiv v^2 \bmod p$, then $\mu + v \equiv 0 \bmod p$ because $\mu \not\equiv v \bmod p$, then $\mu^{(p-1)/2} + v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 0 \bmod p$, contradiction because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} = v^{(p-1)/2} = -1$. $d = p - 1$ is not possible because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} - v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Therefore $d = \frac{p-1}{2}$, so the ramification of p in the singular $\mathbb{Q}$-field M is $e(\mathfrak{P}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $e(\mathfrak{P}_1/p\mathbb{Z}) = e(\mathfrak{P}_2/p\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$.
2. Singular primary positive $\mathbb{Q}$-fields
   Here $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $d \in \{2, \frac{p-1}{2}, p-1\}$. The case $d = 2 :\ \mu^2 - v^2 \equiv 0 \bmod p$ then $\mu + v \equiv 0 \bmod p$ so $\mu \equiv v^{(p+1)/2} \bmod p$, so $B_{(p+1)/2} \equiv 0 \bmod p$ where $B_{(p+1)/2}$ is a Bernoulli Number. The case $d = \frac{p-1}{2}$ is not possible because $\mu^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $v^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \bmod p$. The case $d = p - 1$ is possible, so the ramification of p in the singular $\mathbb{Q}$-field M is
   (a) either $e(\mathfrak{P}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $e(\mathfrak{P}_i/p\mathbb{Z}) = 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $B_{(p+1)/2} \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
   (b) or $e(\mathfrak{P}_0/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $e(\mathfrak{P}_1/p\mathbb{Z}) = p - 1$.

References

[1] H. Cohen, *Number Theory, Volume I: Tools and Diophantine equations*, Springer, 2007.

[2] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[3] S. Lang, *Cyclotomic Fields I and II*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[4] F. Lemmermeyer, *Reciprocity Laws, From Euler to Eisenstein*, Springer, 2000.

[5] R.A. Mollin, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1999.

[6] R. Quême, *On π-adic expansion of singular integers of the p-cyclotomic field*, arXiv.org, preprint math.NT/0610968, 2006.

`http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/0610/0610968.pdf`

[7] P. Ribenboim, *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[8] P. Ribenboim, *Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 2001.

[9] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields, second edition*, Springer, 1997.

Roland Quême
13 avenue du château d'eau
31490 Brax
France
mailto: roland.queme@wanadoo.fr
****

MSC Classification : 11R18; 11R29; 11R32
****